W.P. No. 1236 of 2007 (S/S)
Hon. Prafulla C. Pant, J.

Heard Shri B.S. Khanka, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3.

By means of this petition, the petitioner has challenged the4 order dated 28.07.2007, passed by respondent no. 3, whereby the representation of the petitioner has been disposed of by said authority.

It appears that in the earlier round of litigation, the petitioner filed writ petition No. 265 (S/S) of 2005, which was disposed of vide order dated 04.04.2007. In pursuance of said order the impugned order dated 28.07.2007 is passed by respondent No. 3. By the impugned order, the petitioner's representation has not been rejected by the authorities concerned rather what has been said in the order is that the petitioner's seniority would remain unaffected and on his turn he would be entitled to promotion as per the rules.

From the perusal of the order dated 04.04.2007, passed by this Court in writ petition No. 265 (S/S) of 2005, it appears that one Sanjay Saxena was given promotion before the petitioner but he was senior to the petitioner. Another person Nanak Singh, belonging to Schedule Tribe was promoted under the category. The petitioner failed to show anyone junior to him in seniority, if promoted by the respondents.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is devoid of merits. The same is dismissed in limine. (All pending application in this case also stands disposed of).

Dt. 05. 09.2007 **(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**
S